

# **Competition Studies in Alkyne Electrophilic Cyclization Reactions**

Saurabh Mehta, Jesse P. Waldo, and Richard C. Larock\*

Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011

larock@iastate.edu

Received October 1, 2008



XR, YR = CHN-*t*-Bu, CHO, COMe, CONH<sub>2</sub>, CONHPh, CO<sub>2</sub>Me, NMe<sub>2</sub>, OAc, OBn, OMe, Ph, SMe, SeMe E = I<sub>2</sub>, ICI, NBS, PhSeCI

The relative reactivity of various functional groups toward alkyne electrophilic cyclization reactions has been studied. The required diarylalkynes have been prepared by consecutive Sonogashira reactions of appropriately substituted any halides and competitive cyclizations have been performed using  $I_2$ , ICl, NBS and PhSeCl as electrophiles. The results indicate that the nucleophilicity of the competing functional groups, polarization of the alkyne triple bond, and the cationic nature of the intermediate are the most important factors in determining the outcome of these reactions.

### Introduction

Alkynes are versatile building blocks in organic synthesis. A wide range of carbocycles and heterocycles have been prepared by the electrophilic cyclization of functionally substituted alkynes<sup>1</sup> and by transition metal-catalyzed annulations.<sup>2</sup> Recently, we and others have reported that the electrophilic cyclization of alkynes using halogen, sulfur and selenium electrophiles can be a very powerful tool for the preparation of a wide variety of interesting carbocyclic and heterocyclic compounds (Scheme 1), including benzofurans,<sup>3</sup> furans,<sup>4</sup> benzothiophenes,<sup>5</sup> thiophenes,<sup>6</sup> benzopyrans,<sup>7</sup> benzoselenophenes,<sup>8</sup> selenophenes,<sup>9</sup> naphthols,<sup>10</sup> indoles,<sup>11</sup> quinolines,<sup>12</sup> isoquino-

(3) (a) Arcadi, A.; Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Marinelli, F.; Moro, L. *Synlett* **1999**, 1432. (b) Yue, D.; Yao, T.; Larock, R. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 10292. (c) Yue, D.; Yao, T.; Larock, R. C. J. Comb. Chem. 2005, 7, 809.

(4) (a) Sniady, A.; Wheeler, K. A.; Dembinski, R. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1769.
(b) Yao, T.; Zhang, X.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 7679. (c) Liu,
Y.; Zhou, S. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4609. (d) Yao, T.; Zhang, X.; Larock, R. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11164. (e) Bew, S. P.; El-Taeb, G. M. M.; Jones, S.; Knight, D. W.; Tan, W. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 5759. (f) Arimitsu, S.; Jacobsen, J. M.; Hammond, G. B. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 2886. (g) Huang, X.; Fu, W.; Miao, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 2359.

(5) (a) Larock, R. C.; Yue, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 6011. (b) Yue, D.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1905. (c) Hessian, K. O.; Flynn, B. L. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4377.

(6) Flynn, B. L.; Flynn, G. P.; Hamel, E.; Jung, M. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2001, 11, 2341.

(7) Worlikar, S. A.; Kesharwani, T.; Yao, T.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 1347.

10.1021/jo802196r CCC: \$40.75 © 2009 American Chemical Society Published on Web 12/23/2008

#### SCHEME 1. Electrophilic Cyclization



lines,<sup>13</sup> α-pyrones,<sup>14</sup> isocoumarins,<sup>14</sup> isochromenes,<sup>15</sup> isoindolinones,<sup>16</sup> naphthalenes<sup>17</sup> and polycyclic aromatics,<sup>18</sup> isoxazoles,<sup>19</sup>

(8) (a) Kesharwani, T.; Worlikar, S. A.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 2307. (b) Bui, C. T.; Flynn, B. L. J. Comb. Chem. 2006, 8, 163.

(9) Alves, D.; Luchese, C.; Nogueira, C. W.; Zeni, G. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 6726.

(10) Zhang, X.; Sarkar, S.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 236.

(11) (a) Yue, D.; Larock, R. C. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1037. (b) Amjad, M.; Knight, D. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 539. (c) Barluenga, J.; Trincado, M.; Rubio, E.; González, J. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 2406. (d) Yue, D.; Yao, T.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 62.

(12) Zhang, X.; Campo, M. A.; Yao, T.; Larock, R. C. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 763<sup>°</sup>

(13) (a) Huang, Q.; Hunter, J. A.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. **2002**, 67, 3437. (b) Fischer, D.; Tomeba, H.; Pahadi, N. K.; Patil, N. T.; Yamamoto, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2007**, 46, 4764.

(14) Yao, T.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5936.

(15) (a) Barluenga, J.; Vázquez-Villa, H.; Ballesteros, A.; González, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 9028. (b) Yue, D.; Della Cá, N.; Larock, R. C. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1581. (c) Yue, D.; Della Cá, N.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3381

(16) Yao, T.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1432.

(17) Barluenga, J.; Vázquez-Villa, H.; Ballesteros, A.; González, J. M. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4121.

(18) (a) Yao, T.; Campo, M. A.; Larock, R. C. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2677. (b)

Yao, T.; Campo, M. A.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3511.
(19) (a) Waldo, J. P.; Larock, R. C. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5203. (b) Waldo, J. P.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 9643.

<sup>(1)</sup> For a review, see: (a) Larock, R. C. In Acetylene Chemistry. Chemistry, Biology, and Material Science; Diederich, F., Stang, P. J., Tykwinski, R. R., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2005; Chapter 2, pp 51-99.

<sup>(2)</sup> For a review, see: (a) Larock, R. C. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 14, 147. (b) Korivi, R. P.; Cheng, C.-H. Org. Lett. **2005**, 7, 5179. (c) Skouta, R.; Li, C.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2007**, 46, 1117. (d) Heller, S. T.; Natarajan, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 7, 4947.

$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} XR' \\ H \end{array} \end{array} = TMS \xrightarrow{\text{cat. Pd/Cu}} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} XR' \\ TMS \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Deprotection}} \\ H \end{array} \end{array} $											
entry	$XR^1$	coupling conditions <sup>b</sup>	TMS-alkyne (% yield)	deprotection conditions <sup>c</sup>	terminal alkyne (% overall yield)						
1	SMe	А	1 (97)	aq KOH/MeOH 0.5 h/25 °C	<b>5</b> (83)						
2	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	А	<b>2</b> (100)	KF•2H <sub>2</sub> O/MeOH 36 h/25 °C	<b>6</b> (82)						
3	Ph	А	d	_	_						
4	CONHPh	В	3 (80)	KF•2H <sub>2</sub> O/MeOH 0.5 h/25 °C	7 (71)						
5	NMe <sub>2</sub>	С	4 (74)	aq NaOH/MeOH/ether 0.16 h/25 °C	8 (48)						

<sup>*a*</sup> All reactions have been run with 5 mmol of *o*-iodoarene. <sup>*b*</sup> Reaction conditions: (A) 1.2 equiv of alkyne, 2 mol % PdCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 1 mol % CuI, 20 mL of Et<sub>3</sub>N, 25 °C. (B) 1.3 equiv of alkyne, 3 mol % PdCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 2 mol % CuI, 4 equiv of DIPA, DMF, 65 °C. (C) 1.2 equiv. of alkyne, 1 mol % PdCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 3 mol % CuI, 0.9 equiv of Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMF, 25 °C. <sup>*c*</sup> See the Supporting Information for the experimental details. <sup>*d*</sup> A complex reaction mixture was obtained.

SCHEME 2. General Mechanism



chromones,<sup>20</sup> bicyclic  $\beta$ -lactams,<sup>21</sup> cyclic carbonates,<sup>22</sup> pyrroles,<sup>23</sup> furopyridines,<sup>24</sup> spiro[4.5]trienones,<sup>25</sup> coumestrol and coumestans,<sup>26</sup> furanones,<sup>27</sup> benzothiazine-1,1-dioxides,<sup>28</sup> etc.<sup>29</sup>

In general, these electrophilic cyclization reactions are very efficient, afford clean reactions, proceed under very mild reaction conditions in short reaction times, and tolerate almost all important functional groups. Furthermore, the iodine-containing products can be further elaborated to a wide range of functionally substituted derivatives using subsequent palladium-catalyzed processes. These reactions are generally believed to proceed by a stepwise mechanism involving electrophilic activation of the alkyne carbon–carbon triple bond, intramolecular nucleophilic attack on the cationic intermediate, and subsequent dealkylation (Scheme 2).

The success of this reaction prompted us to establish the relative reactivity of various functional groups toward cyclization. This has been accomplished by studying competitive cyclizations using halogen and selenium electrophiles.

- (20) (a) Zhou, C.; Dubrovskiy, A. V.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 1626. (b) Likhar, P. R.; Subhas, M. S.; Roy, M.; Roy, S.; Lakshmi Kantam,
- M. Helv. Chim. Acta 2008, 91, 259.
- (21) Ren, X.-F.; Konaklieva, M. I.; Shi, H.; Dickey, S.; Lim, D. V.; Gonzalez, J.; Turos, E. J. Org. Chem. **1998**, 63, 8898.
  - (22) Marshall, J. A.; Yanik, M. M. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 3798.

(23) Knight, D. W.; Redfern, A. L.; Gilmore, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1998, 2207.

(24) Arcadi, A.; Cacchi, S.; Di Giuseppe, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Marinelli, F. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2409.

 TABLE 2.
 Preparation of the Diarylalkynes<sup>a</sup>



entry	$XR^1$	$YR^2$	time (h)	temp (°C)	product	yield (%)
1	SMe	SeMe	24	25	9	80
2	SMe	OMe	6	60	10	90
3	SMe	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	14	25	11	98
4	SMe	CONHPh	1	25	-	b
5	SMe	NMe <sub>2</sub>	24	25	-	
6	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	SeMe	10	60	12	87
7	$CO_2Me$	$CONH_2$	2	25	13	83
8	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	CONHPh	4	60	14	$62^{d}$
9	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	NMe <sub>2</sub>	8	25	15	78
10	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	OMe	4	25	16	74
11	$CO_2Me$	CHO	14	25	17	84
12	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	COMe	4	25	18	88
13	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	Ph	2	25	19	70
14	CONHPh	SMe	3	65	-	b,e
15	CONHPh	SeMe	18	65	-	
16	NMe <sub>2</sub>	Ph	3	75	20	35
17	NMe <sub>2</sub>	Ph	2	110	20	$74^{f}$
18	OMe	NMe <sub>2</sub>	24	25	21	70
19	OMe	CHO	7	25	22	80
20	OMe	OBn	8	60	23	72
21	OMe	Ph	2	25	24	94
22	CHO	NMe <sub>2</sub>	6	60	_	b

<sup>*a*</sup> All reactions were run using 1 mmol of iodoarene and 1.2 equiv of alkyne, 2 mol % PdCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 1 mol % CuI, and 5 mL of Et<sub>3</sub>N. <sup>*b*</sup> An inseparable mixture was obtained. <sup>*c*</sup> The desired compound was not observed. <sup>*d*</sup> Four mol % of CuI was used. <sup>*e*</sup> Two mililiters of DMF were used to dissolve the alkyne. <sup>*f*</sup> The reaction was carried out in toluene using a modified procedure; see the Supporting Information.

# **Results and Discussion**

This electrophilic cyclization methodology has been applied to a variety of unsymmetrical functionally substituted diarylalkynes and the resulting products characterized in order to determine the relative reactivities of various functional groups toward electrophilic cyclization. The required diarylalkynes are readily prepared by consecutive Sonogashira reactions<sup>30</sup> of appropriately substituted aryl halides. Thus, Sonogashira substitution with trimethylsilyl acetylene, removal of the TMS group, followed by a second Sonogashira reaction, generally affords moderate to excellent yields of the desired diarylalkynes. The results for the preparation of the intermediate terminal alkynes and the subsequent diarylalkynes are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

 <sup>(25) (</sup>a) Zhang, X.; Larock, R. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12230. (b)
 Tang, B.-X.; Tang, D.-J.; Yu, Q.-F.; Zhang, Y.-H.; Liang, Y.; Zhong, P.; Li,
 J.-H. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1063.

<sup>(26)</sup> Yao, T.; Yue, D.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9985.

# TABLE 3. Results of the Competitive Cyclizations<sup>a</sup>

# JOC Article



J. Org. Chem. Vol. 74, No. 3, 2009 1143



<sup>*a*</sup> Unless otherwise stated, all reactions have been carried out on a 0.25 mmol scale in 5 mL of methylene chloride at room temperature. All yields are isolated yields after column chromatography. <sup>*b*</sup> A small amount of the corresponding benzothiophene product ( $\sim 7\%$ ) was observed by GC-MS analysis; however, it could not be isolated. <sup>*c*</sup> This result has previously been reported in the literature (see reference <sup>8a</sup>). <sup>*d*</sup> This reaction hasf been carried out on a 0.10 mmol scale. <sup>*e*</sup> The reported yield is the average of two runs. <sup>*f*</sup> This reaction resulted in a complex mixture of unidentifiable products. <sup>*g*</sup> MeCN was used as the reaction solvent and 3 equiv of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> were added as a base. <sup>*h*</sup> The corresponding indole ( $\sim 8\%$ ) was also observed by GC-MS analysis. However, it could not be isolated. <sup>*i*</sup> No reaction occurred; the starting material was recovered. A complex mixture was obtained upon longer reaction. <sup>*j*</sup> This was the only isolated product. The rest of the product mixture was obtained. This ratio is based on GC-MS data. <sup>*m*</sup> This previously been reported in the literature (see ref 3b). <sup>*n*</sup> An alkyne ICl addition product whose structure is similar to compound **49** in entry 34 was obtained.





SCHEME 4. Preparation of Diarylalkyne 26 with Both Competing Groups on the Same Ring



The diarylalkyne **25** containing *t*-butyl imine functionality was prepared by further derivatization of diarylalkyne **22** using a known procedure<sup>13</sup> (Scheme 3). However, similar derivatization starting with the diarylalkyne **17** resulted in a complex reaction mixture.

In addition to the above substrates, a different type of diarylalkyne **26** bearing both of the competing groups (OMe and Ph) on the same aromatic ring has been prepared as shown in Scheme 4. The requisite iodoarene was prepared using a previously reported procedure.<sup>31</sup>

After preparing the desired diarylalkynes, we subjected these compounds to the previously established and already optimized electrophilic cyclization conditions for each class of heterocycle being prepared (Table 3). In most cases, reaction conditions that are appropriate for both of the competing functional groups present in the diarylalkyne in question have been used (entries 1-3). In those cases where common reaction conditions for both functional groups have not been previously reported, more than one set of reaction conditions has been tried in order to allow the functional groups to react under supposedly "optimal" conditions. For example, entries 4 and 5 in Table 3 involve the

same diarylalkyne **12**, yet each entry uses varying amounts of different electrophiles in compliance with the previously reported reaction conditions for methylseleno and carbomethoxy functional groups, respectively.<sup>8a,14</sup>

The results of the competition studies are summarized in Table 3. Before discussing individual results, it should be noted that a close examination of the results suggests that a number of factors affect the cyclization. These include electronic (relative nucleophilicity of the functional groups, polarization of the carbon-carbon triple bond, and the cationic nature of the intermediate) and steric factors (hindrance and geometrical alignment of the functional groups), as well as the nature of the electrophile. Three kinds of results have been observed for these competitive cyclizations. (1) Only one of the two possible products has been obtained. This is most common, indicating that there is a hierarchy of functional group reactivity toward the electrophilic cyclizations. Assuming that the various factors mentioned above may affect the cyclization, the dominance of one functional group over another can be attributed to any one or a combination of two or more factors operating in favor of the one functional group over the other. (2) A mixture of both possible products has been observed. This occurs less commonly, but even in these cases, one product is often obtained in a significantly higher yield than the other, indicating that one group is usually significantly more reactive toward cyclization. (3) A complex reaction mixture is obtained. Although this does not provide any substantial information about the relative reactivity, it points to the fact that either the more reactive

<sup>(27) (</sup>a) Crone, B.; Kirsch, S. F. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5435. (b) Just, Z. W.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 2662.

<sup>(28)</sup> Barange, D. K.; Batchu, V. R.; Gorja, D.; Pattabiraman, V. R.; Tatini, L. K.; Babu, J. M.; Pal, M. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 1775.

<sup>(29)</sup> For other miscellaneous examples, see: (a) Hessian, K. O.; Flynn, B. L. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 243. (b) Barluenga, J.; Vázquez-Villa, H.; Merino, I.; Ballesteros, A.; González, J. M. Chem. –Eur. J. 2006, 12, 5790. (c) Bi, H.-P.; Guo, L.-N.; Duan, X.-H.; Gou, F.-R.; Huang, S.-H.; Liu, X.-Y.; Liang, Y.-M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 397. (d) Barluenga, J.; Palomas, D.; Rubio, E.; González, J. M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2823. (e) Tellitu, I.; Serna, S.; Herrero, T.; Moreno, I.; Domínguez, E.; SanMartin, R. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 1526. (f) Appel, T. R.; Yehia, N. A. M.; Baumeister, U.; Hartung, H.; Kluge, R.; Ströhl, D.; Fanghänel, E. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 47.

functional group is not compatible with the particular reaction conditions or neither of the two functional groups involved has a dominant reactivity, thus resulting in a complex reaction mixture.

It also should be noted that since these reactions are very fast in general, and may involve multiple steps and intermediates, it is quite difficult to strictly assign the reactivity of any particular functional group to any one factor mentioned above. Indeed, we assume that in some cases one or more factors are operating in opposition to each other, resulting in a mixture of products. However, in the following discussion, we will attempt to ascribe the relative reactivity of various functional groups to what we believe to be the most important factors.

With regard to the results of individual experiments, entry 1 (Table 3) demonstrates the competitive cyclization of a methylsulfanyl group versus a methylseleno group. Benzoselenophene 27 was isolated as the major product of the reaction using I<sub>2</sub> as the electrophile. In another experiment (not shown in Table 3 as the product could not be purified completely), when PhSeCl was used as the electrophile, the corresponding benzoselenophene was again formed as the major product in high yield. These results can be attributed to the higher nucleophilicity of selenium compared to sulfur, due to its lower electronegativity and higher polarizability. Similarly, in entry 2, when diarylalkyne **10** (containing methylsulfanyl and methoxy as competing functional groups) was subjected to electrophilic cyclization, benzothiophene 28 was formed exclusively in an excellent yield. It is a general observation that the nucleophilicity increases as one descends a column in the periodic table.<sup>32</sup> This trend is also observed when examining the results of entries 3-14, where the nucleophilicity of the competing functional groups governs the resulting cyclization. Thus, methylseleno is more reactive than methoxy (entry 3) and carbomethoxy functional groups (entries 4 and 5).

Entries 6–8 involve the competition between a methylsulfanyl group and a carbomethoxy group under different conditions. In all three cases, benzothiophene derivatives are the major but not exclusive products, again suggesting that the greater nucleophilicity of sulfur over oxygen controls the reaction. It is noteworthy, however, that changing the electrophile to PhSeCl in entry 8 significantly affects the product distribution, presumably because of the nature of the electrophile.

When competing nucleophilic atoms are in the same row in the periodic table, the results show that the nucleophilicity still governs the outcome of the cyclization. Thus, nitrogen nucleophiles are more reactive than oxygen nucleophiles. This is exemplified by the cyclization of diarylalkyne **21** bearing an NMe<sub>2</sub> group and a methoxy group (entry 9), which leads to exclusive formation of the corresponding indole **34** in an excellent yield. Similarly, a *t*-butyl imine group was found to be more reactive than a methoxy functional group (entry 10). Cyclization reactions involving diarylalkyne **13** (entries 11 and 12) resulted in complex reaction mixtures. It has been observed previously by us that a primary amide does not afford the desired cyclized product and generally results in a complex reaction





FIGURE 1. Carbomethoxy versus an N,N-dimethylamino group.

mixture.<sup>16</sup> On the other hand, the related diarylalkyne **14** containing an *N*-phenyl amide undergoes cyclization cleanly with  $I_2$ , affording two regioisomeric products, both resulting from cyclization by the CONHPh group (entry 13). Using ICl (entry 14) also resulted in the same set of products, although with reduced regioselectivity in accordance to our previously reported results.<sup>16</sup>

Entry 15 involving a competition between a carbomethoxy group and an *N*,*N*-dimethylamino group represents a special case where the corresponding isocoumarin **38** was isolated as the major product of the cyclization. Formation of the isocoumarin product is presumably the result of one (or a combination) of the two factors working in favor of the carbomethoxy group: (1) The electronics of the two substituents polarize<sup>33</sup> the carbon–carbon triple bond in a way that leads to a more cationic C2 and anionic C1, thus facilitating nucleophilic attack at the more electrophilic C2 position (Figure 1), and/or (2) there seems to be a more favorable geometrical alignment of the ester functionality when compared to the *N*,*N*-dimethylamino group.

Entries 16-27 involve competitions among various oxygen nucleophiles. In a competition between a methoxy group and a carbomethoxy group (entries 16-18) using I<sub>2</sub>, ICl and PhSeCl as the electrophiles, the corresponding isocoumarin derivatives are formed exclusively in excellent yields. Analogous to the previous case (entry 15 and Figure 1), formation of the isocoumarin products is again presumably due to the electrophilicity of the carbon being attacked by the carbomethoxy group, and also a more favorable geometrical alignment of the ester functionality when compared to the methoxy group.

Entries 19-21 involve the competitive cyclization of an aldehyde and an ester group under different reaction conditions. When iodine is used as the electrophile (entry 19), the starting material was recovered after 2 h. If the reaction was allowed to stir for a longer time, a complex reaction mixture was obtained. Using ICl as the electrophile (entry 20), a complex mixture was obtained and only isocoumarin derivative 41 was isolable in a 25% yield. It should be noted that the aldehyde group after nucleophilic attack becomes cationic and needs an external nucleophile to neutralize the positive charge. Thus, the same reaction was performed with methanol as an external nucleophile (entry 21). This time, isochromene derivative 42 was isolated as the major product, indicating the impact of an external nucleophile on the cyclization. Similar reactions involving a methyl ketone and a carbomethoxy group as competing entities resulted in complex mixtures of unidentifiable products (entries 22-24).

The cyclizations reported in entries 25 and 26 involving methoxy and benzyloxy functional groups resulted in inseparable complex mixtures. However, the GC-MS analyses of the product mixtures indicated the presence of two benzofuran products **43** 

<sup>(30) (</sup>a) Sonogashira, K. In *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*; Diederich, F., Stang, P. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1998; pp 203–229. (b) Sonogashira, K.; Tohda, Y.; Hagihara, N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, *16*, 4467.

<sup>(31)</sup> Campo, M. A.; Larock, R. C. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 3675.

<sup>(32)</sup> March's Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms, and Structure; Smith, M. B., March, J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2001; Chapter 10, pp 438-445.

<sup>(33)</sup> Theoretical analysis (e.g., MO calculations) can be used to further understand/quantitate the "polarization" effects of the substituents affecting the nature of the cationic intermediate. However, in this manuscript the polarization effects are discussed purely qualitatively in terms of resonance contributions.



FIGURE 2. Possible equilibrium among reaction intermediates.

and **44** in varying ratios, the major product resulting from cyclization by the OMe group. These results are presumably a consequence of the greater steric hindrance of the benzyloxy group.

Entry 27 involves a competition between a methoxy group and a relatively electron-poor acetoxy group. As the reaction outcome, the methoxy cyclization product is formed exclusively in high yield. The result of this reaction again suggests that electronic factors play a crucial role in these cyclization reactions. We have taken advantage of this high selectivity and subsequent palladium-catalyzed carbonylation to prepare coumestrol and a variety of related coumestans.<sup>26</sup>

Entries 28-35 involve competition of an aryl ring versus nitrogen and different oxygen nucleophiles. In the cyclization of diarylalkyne **20** containing an NMe<sub>2</sub> group and a phenyl ring (entries 28-30), the corresponding indole **45** is obtained when I<sub>2</sub> is used as the electrophile, presumably due to the more nucleophilic NMe<sub>2</sub> group. The reaction of diarylalkyne **20** with ICl or NBS resulted in complex reaction mixtures. However, mass spectral analysis of the mixture resulting from the NBS cyclization (entry 30) indicated the presence of the corresponding indole, an observation that is in accord with that of entry 28. In a competition between a carbomethoxy group and a phenyl group (entry 31), the exclusive formation of isocoumarin derivative **46** can be explained based on the greater nucleophilicity of the carbomethoxy group when compared to the electronpoor phenyl ring.

The cyclization of diarylalkyne 24 bearing a phenyl ring and an o-methoxy group has also been studied (entries 32 and 33). Using iodine as the electrophile resulted in a complex mixture of inseparable products, but analysis of the crude <sup>1</sup>H NMR and mass spectral data suggested preferential cyclization on the phenyl ring. Aromatic rings have previously been observed by us to give complex reaction mixtures upon reaction with iodine.<sup>17</sup> Using ICl as the electrophile (entry 33) resulted in a mixture of products resulting from participation by both the phenyl ring and the methoxy group, with the phenyl cyclized phenanthrene derivative 47 being the major product. Presumably, the cationic character of the intermediate and/or the higher nucleophilicity of the phenyl ring when compared to the methoxy group account for this observation. In competition studies employing diarylalkyne substrate 26 containing both of the competing functional groups (Ph and methoxy) on the same phenyl ring (entries 34 and 35), the only products observed using either I2 or ICl were products of addition of the iodine-containing reagent to the carbon-carbon triple bond. These results can again be rationalized by assuming the cationic nature and the electronics of the intermediates involved in these reactions.

## Conclusions

The results of competitive electrophilic cyclizations using halogen and selenium electrophiles on a wide variety of functionally substituted diarylalkynes have been reported. The results suggest that a number of factors affect the cyclization. SeMe > SMe > CO<sub>2</sub>Me > NMe<sub>2</sub> > Aryl (Ph) > OMe > OBn CH=N-t-Bu > OMe > OAc CONHPh > CO<sub>2</sub>Me < CHO



These include electronic (the relative nucleophilicity of the functional groups, and the cationic nature of the intermediate) and steric factors (hindrance and geometrical alignment of the functional groups), and the nature of the electrophile. It should be noted that although the nucleophilicity of the participating functional groups, the polarization of the carbon–carbon triple bond, and the cationic nature of the intermediate seem to be the crucial factors determining the outcome of these reactions, the possibility of some reactions being reversible (Figure 2) before the loss of  $R^1$  or  $R^2$  cannot be ruled out. Thus in such cases, the selectivity might also be controlled by the relative stability of the cyclized intermediate ions (A and B).

The following pattern has been observed for the relative reactivity of various functional groups (Figure 3).

### **Experimental Section**

General Procedure Used for the Preparation of the Diarylalkynes. To a solution of iodoarene (1.0 mmol),  $PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2$  (0.014 g, 2 mol %), and CuI (0.002 g, 1 mol %) in TEA (4 mL) (stirring for 5 min beforehand), 1.2 mmol of trimethylsilylacetylene (1.2 equiv) in 1 mL of TEA was added dropwise over 10 min. The reaction flask was flushed with argon and the mixture was stirred at the indicated temperature for the indicated time (Table 2). After the reaction was over, the resulting solution was filtered, washed with brine, and extracted with EtOAc (2 × 10 mL). The combined extracts were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuum to yield the crude product. Purification was performed by flash chromatography.

**2-[(2-(Methylselenyl)phenyl)ethynyl]thioanisole (9).** The product was obtained as a white solid: mp 105–107 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.37 (s, 3H), 2.52 (s, 3H), 7.10–7.19 (m, 3H), 7.24–7.33 (m, 3H), 7.54 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.5, 15.5, 92.6, 94.2, 121.4, 123.7, 124.3, 124.4, 125.3, 127.5, 129.10, 129.11, 132.77, 132.81, 136.5, 141.8; IR (in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3053, 2986, 2305, 1266; HRMS Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>SSe: 317.99814. Found: 317.99874.

General Procedure for Iodocyclization. To a solution of 0.25 mmol of the diarylalkyne in 3 mL of  $CH_2Cl_2$  was added gradually the I<sub>2</sub>/ICl solution (amounts as indicated in Table 3) in 2 mL of  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The reaction mixture was flushed with argon and allowed to stir at 25 °C for the indicated time (the reaction was monitored by TLC for completion). The excess I<sub>2</sub> or ICl was removed by washing with satd aq Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The mixture was then extracted by EtOAc or diethyl ether (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuum to yield the crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate/hexanes as the eluent.

[2-(3-Iodobenzo[*b*]selenophen-2-yl]thioanisole (27). The product was obtained as a white solid: mp 116–118 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.43 (s, 3H), 7.24–7.38 (m, 4H), 7.42–7.50 (m,

2H), 7.85–7.90 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  16.0, 87.2, 124.7, 125.2, 125.6, 125.9, 126.1, 128.8, 129.8, 131.0, 135.6, 139.3, 141.4, 142.9, 143.1; IR (in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3054, 2986, 2925, 1264; HRMS Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>11</sub>ISSe: 429.87914. Found: 429.88004.

General Procedure for the PhSeCl Cyclizations. To a solution of 0.25 mmol of the diarylalkyne in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 mL) was added the PhSeCl (amount as indicated in Table 3) dissolved in 2 mL of  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The mixture was flushed with argon and allowed to stir at 25 °C for the indicated time. The reaction mixture was washed with 20 mL of water and extracted with EtOAc or diethyl ether. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuum to yield the crude product, which was further purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate/hexanes as the eluent.

**Methyl 2-[3-(Phenylselenyl)benzo**[*b*]thiophen-2-yl]benzoate (32). The product was obtained as a yellow gel: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.59 (s, 3H), 7.07–7.12 (m, 5H), 7.36–7.39 (m, 3H), 7.48–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.80–7.87 (m, 2H), 7.97–8.00 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  52.3, 118.0, 122.3, 125.0, 125.16, 125.19, 126.2, 129.07, 129.11, 130.0, 130.05, 131.5, 131.8, 132.2,

132.8, 135.2, 139.7, 141.1, 147.9, 167.2; IR (in  $CH_2Cl_2$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3055, 2987, 1727, 1263; HRMS Calcd for  $C_{22}H_{16}O_2SSe:$  424.00362. Found: 424.00442.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM070620 and GM079593) and the National Institutes of Health Kansas University Center of Excellence for Chemical Methodologies and Library Development (P50 GM069663) for support of this research. Thanks are also extended to Johnson Matthey, Inc. and Kawaken Fine Chemicals Co. for donating the palladium catalysts, Dr. Feng Shi and Dr. Yu Chen for useful discussions, and Dr. Tanay Kesharwani for providing the diarylalkyne **9**.

**Supporting Information Available:** General experimental procedures and spectral data for all previously unreported starting materials and products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO802196R